

**MEMBERS REQUESTS LIST FOR HIGHWAYS, TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT AND PARKING SCHEMES**

**Note: Cabinet Committee in January 2016 agreed the following criterion for dealing with requests of waiting restrictions:-**

**Waiting Restrictions**

These will only be considered if one of the following criteria is met;

- 1) Where a road safety problem has been identified by collision studies (3 Personal injury accidents in 3 years) and it is clear that an actual reduction in collisions may follow the introduction of such an Order.
- 2) Where evidence of the obstruction of the highway or visibility at junctions occurs on a frequent and severe basis, causing particular difficulties for emergency service vehicles and/or public transport.
- 3) Where commerce and industry are seriously affected by presence of parked vehicles.
- 4) Where the installation of TROs is essential to provide maximum benefit from capital investment.
- 5) On strategic routes and major distributors appropriate waiting and loading restrictions can be used to ensure that adequate road space is available for moving traffic waiting restrictions will not be provided for individual private accesses in isolation.
- 6) Cost of schemes and likely savings through accident reduction need to be part of priority consideration.
- 7) Waiting restrictions are not to be provided for protecting private accesses or in isolated areas where resulting displaced parking is likely

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
15/07	June 15	Cllr Salter	Consider pedestrian crossing Elmsleigh Drive near Rayleigh Drive.	<p>To be investigated when resources allow during financial year 2016/17.</p> <p><b>Member concern at suggested location due to loss of parking, Ward Members to identify alternative location. Officers to arrange when current work programme allows.</b></p> <p><b>Further Member contact requesting pedestrian refuges be considered.</b></p> <p><b>Area surveyed July 2017. Due to the presence of numerous driveways and the width of carriageway, pedestrian refuges are not considered a viable option.</b></p>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/01	Feb 16	Cllr Buckley	Rochford Road Service Road. Propose restrictions to deter parking.	<p>Parking is believed to be related to the airport and preventing parking in an isolated area will merely displace these vehicles.</p> <p>Agreed as below.</p> <p>That request reference 16/01 for the introduction of waiting restrictions or a parking management scheme to deter airport parking in Rochford Road service road, be retained on the list and that officers arrange a meeting with Ward Councillors and appropriate representatives of the airport to discuss the wider issue of airport parking.</p> <p><b>Update: there is no provision within the Airport Surface Access Strategy for funding towards parking controls as the Airport regularly monitor passenger transport modes and report 29% of passengers arrive by public transport.</b></p> <p><b>Officers are aware of increased residents parking issues. Suggest ward Members consult the wider area as to parking issues with a view to considering permit parking controls. Officers can assist with defining an appropriate area and analysing results.</b></p>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/04	May 16	Cllr Courtney	Harden verges Silversea Drive	<p>Agreed as below</p> <p>Ward Councillors be requested to undertake consultation with residents in accordance with the verge hardening policy.</p> <p>Cllr Courtenay is undertaking a consultation with residents and the results will be reported to a future meeting. <b>The estimated costs for this work are£40,000. Members are requested to note that this work would require a number of verge areas to be maintained due to trees and, lamp columns and driveways therefore minimal additional parking will be created. No further update received.</b></p>
16/07	May 16	Cllr Hadley	Campfield Road. Propose waiting restrictions on bend by Cumberland Packaging	<p>Agreed to maintain on the list.</p> <p>Officers advise that waiting restrictions will not prevent the practice of vehicles waiting to enter the businesses.</p> <p>A Ward Member has offered to informally discuss the issue with the associated businesses to attempt a resolution.</p> <p>No feedback from Councillor prior to report being finalised</p>
17/02	Cllr Walker	Oct 16	Harden verges, Mansell Close	<p>Estimated costs of works £12,000.</p> <p><b>Pending outcome of consultation with residents by Ward members. No further information received prior to report being finalised.</b></p>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/03	Cllr Byford	March 17	Introduce parking restrictions, Benvenue Avenue on the west side at peak school times.	Does not meet criteria. Narrow road and parking is present during the busy school times however restrictions will move parking to adjacent streets which have footpath access to the school. <b>Suggest no action at this time and ward Members consider the impact on the wider area.</b>
17/04	Cllrs Davison and Garston	June 2017	Request verge hardening in Bridgwater Drive between Mannering Gardens and Southbourne Grove	Does not meet criteria as the large majority of the properties have off-street parking provision. There is a concern that by moving vehicles partially onto the verge, excessive speeds may result due to wider carriageway.  Works being undertaken on design and estimate. <b>To be updated.</b>
17/05	Cllr Hadley	July 2017	Wakering Avenue junction with Elm Road, extend existing waiting restrictions	Does not meet criteria. Junction currently protected with 10 metres of waiting restrictions. No accident history.  <b>Recommend no action.</b>
17/06	Cllr Jarvis	July 2017	Provide waiting restrictions in Teigngrace to deter residents from neighbouring street parking	Does not meet criteria. No traffic flow or safety issues identified. Officers have also received comments from neighbours expressing concern at rumour of parking controls therefore any proposal would attract objections.  <b>Recommend no action.</b>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/07	Cllr Van Looy	July 2017	Provide disabled parking bay near to doctors surgery, Southchurch Boulevard	Private car park available to sides of surgery. <b>Recommend no action to prevent numerous requests from other local GP/Clinics.</b>
17/08	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	Shaftesbury Avenue southern kerbline junction with Warwick Road – extend junction protection by 40m to cover bend	Does not meet criteria.  Parking restrictions removed in February 2017 following a petition signed by the majority of residents. No safety or traffic flow issues evidenced and significant objections likely from those residents who successfully petitioned removal of the restrictions to accommodate their parking needs.  <b>Recommend no action.</b>
17/09	Cllr Aylen	July 2017	Remove buildouts on London Road between Eastwood Road and Station Road and remove centre line to increase road width	The build outs were constructed to narrow the road for the dual purpose of providing crossing points for pedestrians and to narrow the road to reduce speeds and high levels of accidents. Build outs provide additional visibility for drivers exiting junctions.  <b>Recommend no action due to no benefit likely to be provided for considerable costs and potential increase in accidents.</b>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/10	Cllr Aylen	July 2017	Convert the crossing point in Eastwood Road at junction with Greenway to zebra crossing	Would require assessment = 2 officers for 12 hours to measure traffic and pedestrians at next assessment in March 2018. <b>Recommend no further action at this time</b>
17/11	Cllr Walker	July 2017	The Rodings to extend yellow lines around the bend	Does not meet criteria. This request was rejected by this Committee in September 2015. The road is a small residential cul de sac with no accident history. <b>Recommend no action.</b>
17/12	Cllr Walker	July 2017	Eastwood Park Drive – Provide double yellow lines at junction with Rayleigh Road	Does not meet criteria. Junction currently protected with 12 metres of restrictions in Eastwood Park Drive and 15 metres at the western junction of Rayleigh Road. The eastern junction is subject to a continuous length of waiting restrictions. <b>Recommend no action</b>
17/13	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	Colbert Avenue – extend double yellow lines at junctions of Warwick Rd, Clieveden Rd, Walton Rd, Lynton Rd and Burges Terrace.	Does not meet criteria. Junctions currently protected for a distance of 10 metres in accordance with the highway code and the practice of this Committee. Standard junction designs with no particular features which would require additional restrictions. 1 accident recorded at junction with Warwick Road with vehicle failing to give way to a cyclist. <b>Recommend no action</b>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/14	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	Tyrone Road – amend restrictions between Fermoy Rd and Johnstone Rd which currently prohibit parking between 2pm and 3pm – proposed no waiting 11-Midday Mon-Fri	Resubmission of previous request considered with the preceding two years. The proposal was advertised and following consideration of significant levels of objections on 9 <sup>th</sup> January 2017, the Committee decided to not progress the proposal. The doctors surgery have also presented a petition signed by 3000 patients and supporters of the surgery to retain the existing restrictions and times. <b>Recommend no action.</b>
17/15	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	Tyrone Road western side between 91 to junction with Fermoy Road. Fermoy Road - Thorpe Hall Ave junct to 51 Fermoy Rd – proposed 9am – 5pm 1 hr no return in 4 hrs Mon-Fri and no waiting of double yellow lines at junction with Fermoy road and no loading at any time Tyrone Road at junction with Fermoy road	Request to accommodate request 17/21 recommended for no further action.
17/16	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	The Broadway extension of single yellow line both sides of road from Johnstone Rd to Burges Rd – 11am to 12 midday	Does not meet criteria. The Committee has agreed to not consider waiting restrictions in isolated areas to prevent a piecemeal approach to parking controls. No traffic flow or safety issues. <b>Recommend no further action.</b>



Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/17	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	Fermoy/St James Avenue junction extend yellow lines to extend over pvx of 125 St James Avenue (pvx is in Fermoy	Does not meet criteria. Purpose of request is to protect a private access contrary to the agreed policy and practice adopted by this Committee. An officer met with the resident and advised this was the case. The driveway is of an adequate width to allow for safe entry and exit with required due care. <b>Recommend no action</b>
17/18	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	Colbert Avenue – extend double yellow lines at junctions of Warwick Rd, Clieveden Rd, Walton Rd, Lynton Rd and Burges Terrace	Does not meet criteria. Junctions currently protected for a distance of 10 metres in accordance with the highway code. Standard junction designs with no particular features which would require additional restrictions. 1 accident recorded at junction with Warwick Road with vehicle failing to give way to a cyclist. <b>Recommend no action</b>
17/23	Cllr Woodley	July 2017	The Broadway extension of single yellow line both sides of road from Johnstone Rd to Burges Rd – 11am to 12 midday	Does not meet criteria. The Committee has agreed to not consider waiting restrictions in isolated areas to prevent a piecemeal approach to parking controls. No traffic flow or safety issues. <b>Recommend no further action.</b>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/24	Cllr Jarvis	July 2017	Teigngrace - introduction of waiting restrictions	<p>Does not meet criteria. No traffic flow or safety issues as, this is residential street with no external parking pressures. The complaint appears to be regarding residents from a neighbouring street parking in the road. Proposing restrictions would merely concentrate parking in adjacent streets. The Committee has agreed to not consider waiting restrictions in isolated areas to prevent a piecemeal approach to parking controls.</p> <p><b>Recommend no action.</b></p>
17/25	Cllr Bright	July 2017	Provide pedestrian crossing, Liftsan Way near to Apollo Drive.	<p>The site was assessed on 18<sup>th</sup> July 2017 from 7am to 7pm. For a crossing to be justified, the levels of pedestrian crossing at, and within, 50 metres of the requested location is monitored along with traffic flows. The final measure consists of an average figure from the busiest four hours of the survey.</p> <p>The pedestrian figures are multiplied by the traffic flow figures and any location which totals 1 is considered as appropriate for a crossing facility.</p> <p>The average figure achieved at this location is 0.15 which is well below the recommended threshold. Pedestrians crossing at the location were not waiting to cross for overly long periods as the signalised junction at Southchurch Road provides sufficient breaks in traffic flow to enable crossing.</p>

				<b>Recommend no further action.</b>
--	--	--	--	-------------------------------------

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/26	Cllr Woodley	August 2017	Colbert Avenue north side. Provide waiting restriction 9am to 6pm April to October.	<p>Residents were consulted in February 2016 as to waiting restrictions prohibiting parking at any time and no consensus was reached with objections not being submitted to this Committee. Concerns that by advertising restrictions, residents may be unclear as to complete proposals. The statutory requirements would require all unresolved objections to previously advertised restrictions being considered by this Committee and a formal decision taken with residents being appropriately informed. Any subsequent proposals, if agreed by this committee could then be progressed.</p> <p>There is however, serious concerns that residents have no majority view as to any parking controls and further resources and advertising will result in further annoyance to residents. The request does not meet the recommended criteria with no safety or traffic issues evidenced.</p> <p><b>Recommend no further action.</b></p>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
16/11	August 2016	Cllr Woodley	Colbert Avenue. Propose waiting restrictions on west of church.	<p><i>Agreed to maintain on list</i></p> <p>Does not meet criteria. No accidents recorded at or near to the location. It is considered that parking at the location is likely acting as a speed reduction feature. However concerns have been expressed by ward Councillors, the church and residents to deal with parking at the bend which is perceived to be dangerous. If agreed to consult, it is proposed ward councillors consult local residents for a consensus approach as previous efforts in this regard have been inconclusive. Meeting held with Members and residents on 16/3/17. No consensus from residents.</p> <p>Please see request 17/26 above as this is related to the same street.</p> <p>Recommend no further action.</p>
17/27	Cllr Arscott	August 2017	Amend traffic flows in Chalkwell Park Drive to one-way	Survey of residents indicates support for the proposal – figures to be added with estimated costs.

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/28	Cllr Stafford	UPDATE OF PREVIOUS REQUEST	Propose alternative pedestrian facility following assessment for pedestrian crossing, Station Road.	<p>Original request rejected due to low levels of activity at the proposed location. Officers have investigated the provision of a pedestrian refuge to enable crossing the road is two stages however due to the limited width of carriageway and the high probability that pedestrians using the refuge would be using mobility aids, the refuge is not viable.</p> <p>The refuge would be of inadequate width to fully accommodate these pedestrian without increasing the likelihood of being struck by passing vehicles and any refuge would require road widening.</p> <p>Further concerns of vehicle movements being affected at nearby junction.</p> <p>Findings supported by independent Road Safety Audit</p> <p><b>Recommend no further action.</b></p>

Reference Number	Date 1 <sup>st</sup> Reported (Month/Year)	Ward Member	Subject of Request	Update
17/29	Cllr Aylen	UPDATE OF PREVIOUS REQUEST	Belfairs Park Drive, propose waiting restrictions.	<p>Members considered the request in March 2017 and following lengthy debate relating to the Councillors request to propose waiting restrictions in certain sections of the street, The Committee decided that the whole street should be included in any proposal to avoid displaced parking and potential future requests to deal with the unrestricted areas. The decision included the requirement that ward Members be consulted prior to the proposal being advertised. In accordance with the decision, officers contacted ward Members and in response, Councillor Aylen again requested that only parts of the street were included in the proposal. Officers reminded the Member of the Committee decision however no agreement was reached and no further action was taken. Officers have recently attempted to resolve the issue and in August 2017, ward Members were contacted and agreement reached to proceed with an advertisement for waiting restrictions in the entire road.</p>
17/30	Cllrs Bright and Holland	August 2017	Provide limited waiting parking restrictions Southchurch Boulevard adjacent to church to deter all day parking by coaches.	<p>There has not been sufficient time to monitor the parking prior to this report being finalised. <b>Recommend officers monitor the parking and if action is required, progress proposals.</b></p>